

REMARKS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the final Office Action dated July 27, 2005 are respectfully requested. By this Response, claims 1-42 are pending.

This Response is being filed before the Applicant and the Examiner have held a telephonic interview. Applicant reserves the right to file a supplemental Response in order to address any additional concerns the Examiner might have in light of discussion during the upcoming interview.

I. Applicant's Technology

Embodiments of the invention disclose a method for transmitting content from a WAP/i-mode-enabled device to a destination device, which may or may not also be a WAP/i-mode-enabled device. For example, you may have accessed a URL via your wireless device and obtained movie times to various movie theaters on your wireless device display. You may now want to tell other friends these times without manually writing down the information and calling each friend. Under one aspect of the invention, the content displayed on your wireless device display may be effectively cut and pasted into a message, or a link to that content provided in the message, where that message can then be shared with others.

II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nykanen (U.S. Patent No. 6,661,784).

U.S. Patent No. 6,661,784 to Nykanen is directed to a method for setting up a data transmission connection which is formed to transmit information between a first communication device and a second device. A network address is used to identify the first

communication device, which is WAP-enabled. Nykanen further discloses a method for enabling communication between the first communication device and the second device. Nykanen fails to disclose a method for sharing or storing data that is transmitted from the device.

The office action relies on column 8, lines 10-20 to allegedly provide the elements recited in claim 19. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Nykanen discloses the use of a WML browser to retrieve information via a communication browser (column 8, lines 9-10). To retrieve the information, "the browser transmits a request to the selected server for retrieving the desired information, which is identified with the URL address." The information is then converted and presented to a user (Column 8, lines 11-20). In the above passages, Nykanen simply discloses a communication device retrieving information, such as content from a web page, using a browser. Nykaken does not mention "generating a message including an indication of a second URL, a file associated with the second URL including a modified version of the content corresponding to the first URL," which are elements recited in the method of claim 19.¹

Claim 19 recites, among other limitations, effectively storing a modified version of the content to be shared between devices, and generating a message including an indication of a second URL for the content itself. Specifically, the method of claim 19 includes generating "a file associated with the second URL including a modified version of the content corresponding to the first URL." Nykanen lacks any such disclosure, and therefore, does not anticipate the method of claim 19.

¹ In fact, the Office action (and likewise in the previous Office action of September 10, 2004) does not mention that Nykanen shows "a file associated with the second URL including a modified version of the content corresponding to the first URL," nor does the Office action respond to arguments presented in the previous Response of January 10, 2005 pointing out where Nykanen shows such elements.

III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-8, 15-18 and 20-42 stand rejected under U.S. 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nykanen in view of Darago (U.S. Patent No. 6,170,014).

Independent claims 1, 20, 30, 40, 41, and 42 recite, among other limitations, techniques for sharing content transmitted from a WAP/i-mode-enabled device to a destination device.

As discussed above, Nykanen discloses a method for establishing data transmission between two devices, but fails to disclose a method for sharing content transmitted from a wireless device.

Darago is directed to a computer architecture used to manage courseware in a shared use operating environment and to content delivery over a large computer network. For example, the system of Darago is implemented as a networked courseware delivery system that provides a content server which may contain courseware and other managed content that can be accessed by users (such as students) of the system (see Figure 4).

The system of Darago is a courseware management system used in computer networks that allows users to access content held on a content server. Darago does not disclose a WAP/i-mode-enabled device sharing content with a second destination device, as is embodied in the independent claims.

As the Examiner is aware, in order to make a *prima facie* case for obviousness, the "the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations." (MPEP 2142). Because the combination of Nykanen in view of Darago does not disclose all the limitations set forth in claims 1, 20, 30, 40, 41, or 42, applicant respectfully submits that, for at least this reason, the claims are allowable over the cited references.

IV. Conclusion


Since claims 1, 19, 20, 30, 40, 41, and 42 are allowable, based on at least the above reasons, the claims that depend on claims 1, 19, 20, 30, 40, 41, and 42 are likewise allowable. Thus, for at least this reason, claims 2-8, 15-18, 21-29, and 31-39, in addition to claims 1, 19, 20, 30, 40, 41, and 42, are patentable over the cited references.

In view of the above remarks, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 364388075US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Michael J. Smith
Registration No.: 56,702
PERKINS COIE LLP/CW
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Representative for Applicant